# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT, SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK;

In Re: Residential Capital, LLC., et al., And In Re: GMAC, Mortgage Co., et al, Debtors	d,) Case No. 12-bk-12020 (MG)  (Ch.11, Joint Admin.)  (Related BR Case No.07-bk-57237, S.D., OHIO)  (Related BR Case No. 12-bk-12032, S.D., N.Y.)  JUDGE: GLENN, MARTIN			
UNITED STATES of America, Ex Rel., Yvonne D. Lewis, et al., Plaintiffs/ Surplus Creditors Vs.  GMAC, Mortgage Co., et al, Defendants/ Bankrupt Debtors,	) Adversary Case No.: 1:12-av-1731 ) (Related Case Nos. 1:12-bk-12020, 1:12-bk-12032; ) 05-CV-7346 (03-CV-7478); 03-CV-10836; ) 05-CV-4555; 03-CV-6954);(11-AP-875, ) COA10th Dist., OHIO), (10-AP-110, COA10th ) Dist., OHIO) )  I. OBJECTION/NOTICE TO MOTION [Doc. 14] AND ) II. MOTION/NOTICE FOR SHOW CAUSE [Doc. 6]			
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO; (at Columbus)				
[18 USC §§ 245(b)(2)(B), 664, 666, 1962][29 USC §§ 1131, 1132(h), 1140] [26 USC §§ 101(h), 267(b)(1)][42 U.S.C. §§ 4651(2),7407(d)(1)(C)(i)]				
In Re: SIDNEY T. LEWIS, pro se,	) Case No. 2:07-bk-57237 ) (Ch.7)			
Debtor	(Cli.7) (Related Bankr Case No. 2:05-bk-75111)			
Social Security No.: xxx-xx-5959	) JUDGE: HOFFMAN, JOHN, Jr.			
In Re: Yvonne D. Lewis,	) Case No. 2:05-bk-75111 ) (Ch.7)			
Debtor	) (Related Case No. 2:07-bk-57237)			
Social Security No.: xxx-xx-2390	) JUDGE: HOFFMAN, JOHN, Jr.			
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, S. D. OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION (at Columbus)				
UNITED STATES of America, Ex Rel., Sidney T. Lewis, et al., Plaintiffs Vs.	) Action No. <u>2:08-cv-1042</u> ) (Related Dist. Ct. Cases 2:08-cv-16; 2:96-cv-494; ) 2:09-cv-179; 2:08-cv-75, at Doc. No. 65); 1 of 14 pages			

Larry McClatchey, et al., Defendants	JUDGE: HOLSCHUH ) Magistrate Judge: KING [26 USC §§ 267(b)(1), 4975(e); 18 USC § 1962]			
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA				
FRIENDS OF THE EARTH, et al., Plaintiff, Vs.	) (Case: 1: 12-cv-00363 (RELATED Case: 1: 12-cv-00361) ) [26 USC §§ 267(b)(1), 4975(e); 18 USC § 1962] ) Assigned To: Jackson, Amy Berman, Judge			
UNITED STATES E.P.A. and LISA JACKSON, Administrator, Defendants.	) ) ) )			
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA CENTRAL DIVISION				
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION ) Plaintiff,				
VS.	) Case No. 4:10-cv-87			
AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT L HOLDING COMPANY; DAVID J. NOBLE; and WENDY C. WAUGAMAN, Defendants.	) [26 USC §§ 267(b)(1), 4975(e); 18 USC § 1962] ) ) )			
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS, FRANKLIN COUNTY; OHIO TENTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS				
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, EX REL.				
GMAC, Mortgage Co., et al., Plaintiffs/Creditor Vs.	<ul> <li>Case No. 12-AP-506, COA10th Dist., Ohio</li> <li>(Related Case Nos. 02-MS-20; 05-JG-6455;</li> <li>05-CV-7346 (03-CV-7478); 03-CV-10836;</li> <li>05-CV-4555; 03-CV-6954)(11-AP-875)</li> </ul>			
Yvonne D. Lewis, et al.,  Defendants/Discharged Debtors				
UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT;				
Charles STRUBE, et al., Living Trust Beneficiary, ) Beverly MALONE, Living Trust Beneficiary, )  No. 05-13014 / No. 05-11461				

Appellant,	) Removed USDC, M.D., FL, case # 01-cv-1236 ) [26 USC §§ 267(b)(1), 4975(e); 18 USC § 1962]			
vs. AMERICAN EQUITY ("AEL"), et al., Appellee.	) Reported below: 158 Fed. Appx. 198.			
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT; AT FL.				
Charles STRUBE, et al., Living Trust Beneficiary, Beverly MALONE, Living Trust Beneficiary, Plaintiffs/Appellants,	<ul> <li>No. 05-11461 Non-Argument Calendar;</li> <li>No. 05-13014 Non-Argument Calendar</li> <li>Removed from case no. 6:01-cv-1236</li> <li>[26 USC §§ 267(b)(1), 4975(e); 18 USC § 1962]</li> </ul>			
VS.	) Before ANDERSON, BLACK and PRYOR,			
AMERICAN EQUITY ("AEL"), et al., Defendants/Appellees.	) Circuit Judges.			

I. OBJECTION/NOTICE TO DEBTORS' MOTION FOR JUDGMENT [DOC. 14];

GROUNDED ON DEFECTIVE WHITLINGER AFFIDAVIT [DOC.6] WHICH CONCEALS
EQUITY SKIMMING AND RICO, 12 U.S.C. § 1715z-19; 18 U.S.C. §§ 2, 371, 1001, 1505, 1962;

AND,

II. MOTION/NOTICE FOR AN ORDER OF CONTEMPT/OR IN THE ALTERNATE, AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR DEBTORS CONTEMPT OF FEDERAL INTERIM ORDERS [Docs. 87, 90 & 1420]; GROUNDED ON CONCEALMENT OF DEFECTIVE AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES WHITLINGER [DOC.14, PG.3, P.4];

#### I. OBJECTION/ NOTICE

Yvonne D. Lewis and Sidney T. Lewis, plaintiffs, creditors and movant in the above-entitled and numbered adversary case no. 1:12-1731, U.S.D.C., S.D., NY., as surplus creditors in the above-entitled and numbered Related Bankr Case Nos. 2:05-bk-75111, and 2:07-bk-57237, U.S.D.C., S.D., OH., objects to the Motion (Doc. 14) of defendant due to fraud on the court by defective "self declaration (Doc. 6)" and "Equity Skimming" through "RICO enterprises" (Doc.14) and shows the court as follows:

1. That on May 14, 2012, Debtor GMAC Mortgage LLC., filed its voluntary ch. 11 petition (Doc. 1) supported by an affidavit of James Whitlinger (Doc. 6) in case no. 1:12-bk-12020 in the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, whereupon interim orders

(Doc. 47 and 90) for relief were entered. That thereafter, Debtor proceeded under its second addendum to its servicing agreements on April 1, 2005 (see: Doc.793-1, at pg 79) on the fact that no statutory authority was cited in the Affidavit to authorize a "self declaration" of James Whitlinger (see: Doc. 6, at pg. 101 of 101) to sustain the defective bankruptcy action.

2. That on Sept. 12, 2012, Debtor GMAC, filed its Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (Doc. 14) in the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, requesting modification of consent judgment to terminate payments to homeowners by virtue of the Defective Affidavit /"self declaration" of Whitlinger (compare: Doc. 6, at pg. 101; compare to: Doc. 14, at pg. 3 of 9, at P.4) whereby an order for relief by dismissal "with prejudice" (Id. 9 of 9) was requested contrary to the approved terms for "Hardship Affidavit", etc. (See: Doc. 793-1, at pg. 10 of 111, at P.6.b.vii.) incorporated in the consent judgment dated April 5, 2012 in case no. 1:12-cv-361, U.S.D.C., D.C. (See: case no. 1:12-cv-361, Doc. 13, at pg. 6 of 92, at P.13) That thereafter, Debtors incorporated said terms in "core proceeding" by the Affidavit of Thomas Marano (See: Doc. 793) in which capacity Debtors AFI and GMAC knowingly brought the bankruptcy action on the Defective "self declaration" of James Whitlinger (See: Doc. 6) as fraud on the court.

#### A. FRAUD ON THE COURT

#### (1) ELEMENTS:

Demjanjuk defined fraud on the court as conduct: 1) on the part of an officer of the court; that 2) is directed to the judicial machinery itself; 3) is intentionally false, willfully blind to the truth, or is in reckless disregard for the truth; 4) is a positive averment or a concealment when one is under a duty to disclose; and 5) deceives the court. Demjanjuk v. Petrovsky, 10 F.3d 338, 348 (6th Cir. 1993).

#### (2) CONDUCT:

STEFAN W. ENGELHARDT and SAMANTHA MARTIN'S conduct: 1) on the part of attorneys MARTIN and ENGELHARDT as officers of the court in adv. case no. 1:12-1731 [Doc 14]; that 2) is directed to the judicial machinery itself [Doc 14]; 3) is intentionally false "self declaration" under common law [Doc 6, pg 101], willfully blind to the truth that 28 USC 1746 must be cited as mandatory [See: Doc. 793, pg.16 of 16], or is in reckless disregard for the truth by stating "there is no viable Claim" [see: Doc 14, pg. 8 of 9, at lines 3-4] and "Debtors were required to solicit and, as appropriate, to modify loans" [Doc. 793, pg.15 of 16, P.27]; 4) is a *positive averment* or a *concealment* when one is under a *duty to disclose* 28 USC § 1746 under 18 USC § 1001 for a "self declaration [Id at pg 101]" and a "2001 Partial Mortgage Release<sup>2</sup>" by virtue of the 1992 Partial Land Acquisition by the FAA, FAR part 150 as a 1987 permanent aviation easement under 49 USC § 40103(a), 47504(a)(2)(E) [See: Doc 13-4, at pg. 5 of 8]; and 5) MARTIN and ENGELHARDT deceived the court by a *positive averment* [Id pg. 8 of 9, at lines 3-4] and a *concealment* [Id pg. 101] to grant the interim orders at Docs 47, 90 & 1420 [see Docs 47 & 90] supported by the defective "declaration [Id pg. 101]". (See: Demjanjuk v. Petrovsky, 10 F.3d at pp. 348 (6th Cir. 1993).

(See: Carter v. Anderson, 585 F.3d 1007, pp. 1011; 2009 U.S. App. LEXIS 23904, p9, at HN2)

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: Sept. 19, 2012

Sidney T/Lewis, pro se 1875 Alvason Avenue Columbus, OH 43219 Dated: Sept. 19, 2012

Yvonne D. Lewis, pro se 1875 Alvason Avenue Columbus, OH 43219

**VERIFICATION** 

State of Ohio )
SS:
Franklin County )

I, Yvonne D. Lewis, declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge as based on my understandings and belief.

FURTHER SAYETH THE AFFIANT NAUGHT.

Executed on Sept. 19, 2012 pursuant to 28 U.S.C.§§ 1715,1746; U.S. Const., Amend., § 14th.

<sup>18</sup> USC § 1001 also covers half-truths if there is a duty to speak the truth. See generally United States v. Lutwak, 195 F.2d 748 (7th Cir. 1948), aff'd, 344 U.S. 604 (1953).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Partial Mortgage Release: A document evidencing release of a Mortgage with respect to a portion of the Mortgaged Property." [See: Doc. 793-1, pg.50 of 111],

12-12020-mg Doc 1944 Filed 10/23/12 Entered 10/25/12 12:15:07 Main Document Pg 6 of 22

Yvonne D. Lewis

YVONNE D. LEWIS, Affiant, In Personam

VERIFICATION

State of Ohio )
SS:
Franklin County )

I, Sidney T. Lewis, declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge as based on my understandings and belief.

FURTHER SAYETH THE AFFIANT NAUGHT.

Sidney Lewis

Executed on Sept. 19, 2012 pursuant to 28 U.S.C.§§ 1715,1746; U.S. Const., Amend., § 14th.

#### II. MOTION TO SHOW CAUSE

Yvonne D. Lewis and Sidney T. Lewis, plaintiffs and movant in the above-entitled and numbered adversary case no. 1:12-av-1731, U.S.D.C., S.D., NY., move this court for its Order requiring Debtors Counsels STEFAN W. ENGELHARDT and SAMANTHA MARTIN (Bar Assoc. No. unknown), and joint defendants AFI, ResCap, GMAC Mortgage, LLC, as indispensable defendants in the above-entitled and 1:12-av-1731 action "incorporated by reference" to mandatory rights and obligations and "terms" from numbered case 12-cv-361, U.S.D.C., DC, to show cause why they should not be held in civil contempt and brought before the court to answer for their violations of the April 4 & 13, 2012, DOJ/State AG Settlement and/or FRB Order a/k/a Consent Judgments in case 12-cv-361, and "incorporated by reference" in the May 15, 2012 interim orders at Doc Nos. 87, 90 & 1420, [Doc. 87<sup>3</sup>,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>Doc. 87</u>, pgs. 13 & 14, at P.35 & P.36: "35. Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, this Order shall <u>not</u> modify or affect the terms and provisions of, nor the **rights and obligations** under, (a) the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System **Consent Order**, dated April 13, 2011, by and among AFI, Ally Bank, ResCap, GMAC Mortgage, LLC, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, (b) the **consent judgment entered** 

Interim Order (I) Authorizing the Debtors to Continue in the Ordinary Course of Business (A) Servicing
Governmental Association Loans and (B) Foreclosure Activities Related to Certain Real Estate Owned
by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae; (II) Authorizing the Debtors to Pay Certain Prepetition
Amounts Due to Critical Servicing Vendors and Foreclosure Professionals; (III) Granting Limited Stay
Relief to Enable Borrowers to Assert Related Counter-Claims in Foreclosure and Eviction Proceedings;
(IV) Authorizing the Debtors to Use Cash Collateral Under the Fannie Mae EAF Facility; and (V)
Granting Related Relief. (related document(s)57))] and, [Doc. 90<sup>4</sup>, Interim Order Authorizing The
Debtors To Continue To Perform Under The Ally Bank Servicing Agreements In The Ordinary Course
Of Business (related document(s)47)] entered in the action on 05/16/2012, (See Also: Doc. 6-4, at Pg. 2
of 3, at P.11; and at Pg. 3 of 3, at P.14, in case no. 12-CV-12020, WHITLINGER'S AFFIDAVIT IN
SUPPORT OF FIRST DAY MOTIONS, Exhibit 4, filed 5-14-12); and, [Doc 1420<sup>5</sup>, "STIPULATION
AND ORDER RESERVING RIGHTS WITH RESPECT TO DEBTORS' MOTION FOR INTERIM AND

April 5, 2012 by the District Court for the District of Columbia, dated February 9, 2012, (c) the Order of Assessment of a Civil Money Penalty Issued Upon Consent Pursuant to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, as amended, dated February 10, 2012, and \*\*\* 36. Nothing in this Order shall discharge, release, or otherwise preclude any setoff or recoupment right of the United States of America, its agencies, departments, or agents." (See: Doc 87, pgs. 13 & 14, at P.35 & P.36, in case no. 12-CV-12020, a Interim Order filed 5-16-12)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <u>Doc. 90, pg. 5 of 7, P.11</u>. states in pertinent parts: "Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, this Order shall <u>not</u> modify or affect the terms and provisions of, nor the rights and obligations under, (a) the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System **Consent Order, dated April 13, 2011**, by and among AFI, Ally Bank, ResCap, GMAC Mortgage, LLC, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, (b) the **consent judgment entered April 5, 2012** by the District Court for the District of Columbia, dated February 9, 2012, (c) the Order of Assessment of a Civil Money Penalty Issued Upon Consent Pursuant to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, as amended, dated February 10, 2012, and (d) **all related agreements with AFI and Ally Bank and their respective subsidiaries and affiliates.**" (See: Doc 90, at Pg. 5 of 7, at P.11, in case no. 12-CV-12020, an Interim Order filed 5-16-12)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>Doc 1420</u>, pg. 4 of 17, P.1. states in pertinent parts: "NOW THEREFORE, it is hereby STIPULATED and AGREED by the Parties that: 1. Subject to the terms of this Stipulation, the Debtors are authorized and directed to continue to perform under the terms of the Servicing Agreement \*\*\* in accordance with the provisions set forth herein." (See: Doc 1420, pg. 4 of 17, P.1., in case no. 12-CV-12020, an Interim Order filed 09/12/12)

FINAL ORDERS UNDER BANKRUPTCY CODE SECTIONS 105(a) AND 363 AUTHORIZING THE DEBTORS TO CONTINUE TO PERFORM UNDER THE ALLY BANK SERVICING AGREEMENT IN THE ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS" (related document(s)47)] entered in the action on 09/12/12.

- A. As grounds for this motion, movant shows the court:
- 1. On 5/15/2012, Interim Orders [Docs. 87 & 90] were entered by the Honorable James M. Peck, Judge of the United States Bankruptcy Court, S.D. of New York, permanently enjoining case 12-cv-361 defendants as Debtors and Debtors in possession in Bankruptcy case 1:12-bk-12020 and adversary case 1:12-av-1731 and defendants' officers, employees, and agents, and any other persons acting under defendants' authority from Altering the consent judgment entered April 5, 2012 by attempting to erroneously discharge, deceptively release, or otherwise preclude mitigation to "mortgage loan borrowers" of "hard dollar payments" or "soft dollar credits" pursuant to obligations and terms of the DOJ/State AG Settlement or FRB Order ("Settlement Costs") set forth in the January 30, 2012 Ally Financial Inc. ("AFI") Servicing Agreement (See: Doc 793-1, at Pg.18, at P.4 & 5) and any setoff or recoupment right of the United States of America, its agencies, i.e. HUD, FAA, FHWA, U.S. DOT, U.S. EPA, S.E.C., U.S. DOJ, departments, or agents (GNMA; FNMA; FHA) as permanent subdivision Avigation Easement lienholders of uncompensated mortgagors on Lots 11 and 17 in the Argyle Park Subdivision, Columbus, Ohio.
- 2. On <u>09/12/12</u>, Doc 1420 was filed as an amendment of Doc 47 being a Stipulated Interim Order [Docs. 47 & 90] and entered in case 1:<u>12-bk-12020</u> by the Honorable **Martin Glenn**, Judge of the United States Bankruptcy Court, S.D. of New York, permanently enjoining "AFI" defendants as Debtors in possession in United States District Court, for D.C., case 12-cv-361 and defendants' officers, employees, and agents, and any other persons acting under defendants'

authority pursuant to obligations and terms of the DOJ/State AG Settlement or FRB Order in Doc 1420 from attempting to erroneously discharge, deceptively release, or otherwise preclude timely mitigation to plaintiff/mortgagors as "mortgage loan borrowers" nor precluding any statutory setoff or recoupment for the \$7,341,707 "September 2, 1992 Equity Skimming" of Passenger Facility Charges<sup>6</sup> (PFC's) as a result of findings of the Federal Aviation Administration "FAA" effective September 25, 1987 Permanent Subdivision Avigation Easement<sup>7</sup> Airspace Rights under 49 USC §§ 40103(a), 47504(a)(2)(E) for the United States of America, its agencies, i.e. HUD, FAA, FHWA, U.S. DOT, U.S. EPA, S.E.C., U.S. DOJ, departments, or agents, i.e. GNMA; FNMA; FHA pursuant to 12 U.S.C. §§ 1715z-19, 1709; 49 U.S.C. §§ 40103(a), 40117; 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d, 1441, 3535, 4621(c)(4), 7573. (See: Doc 1420, pg. 4 of 17, P.1.)

3. On <u>09/12/12</u>, Doc. 14 in Adv. case <u>1:12-1731</u> was filed to conceal Debtors' breach of DOJ/State AG Settlement and FRB Order incorporated in the April 4, 2012 "Consent Judgment" [see: Doc. 1275] and to conceal this "Transformative Transaction" without the consent of AFI under a "Notice of Default for the January 30, 2012 Servicing Agreement"; to conceal the aforesaid "Equity Skimming" on GNMA, FNMA, and FHA mortgages, including Plaintiff Yvonne Lewis' f/k/a Yvonne Moore's 1975 FHA Mortgage (See: Attached **EXHIBIT A**) subject to: (1) the FRB Order, dated April 13, 2011, (2) Servicing Agreement, dated January 30, 2012, Doc 793-1, at pgs. 24 to 27; (3) Addendums to Servicing Agreements, dated April 1, 2005, Doc 793-1, at pgs. 79 to 84 & 86; (4) Consent Judgment entered April 5, 2012 by Defendants, i.e. Residential Capital, LLC, Ally Financial, Inc., and GMAC Mortgage, LLC (collectively, "Defendants" in case 12-cv-361 as *Debtors and Debtors in Possession*) consented to the entry of the above-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> (See: 57 FR 40242, PFC's)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> (See: 53 FR 2800, FAA)

described orders subject to the terms of the Servicing Agreement, which was approved by defendant's attorney of record, Gary S. Lee, Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors in Possession, and Craig A. Bruens, Counsel to Ally Financial Inc. and Ally Bank on August 27, 2012 in BR case 1:12-bk-12020 and approved by defendant's attorneys of record, STEFAN W. ENGELHARDT and SAMANTHA MARTIN, Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors in Possession, on September 12, 2012 for Doc. 14 in Adv. case no. 1:12-1731.

- 4. Notwithstanding the final entry of the FRB Order a/k/a Consent Order dated APRIL 13, 2012; the United States District Court for the District of Columbia's "Consent Judgment" a/k/a DOJ/State AG Settlement entered APRIL 5, 2012, defendants as Debtors in Possession, contrary to, and in violation of, the terms of the Addendums to Servicing Agreements, dated August 21, 2001, and amended as of July 1, 2004, April 1, 2005, and September 1,2007 (See: Doc 793-1, at Pg.86) has continued and is continuing to conceal the false first day motions supported by the Defective "self declaration" by James Whitlinger under State Common Law (Doc.6, case 12, 12020); continuing to engage in unfair or deceptive practices with respect to consumers to conceal uncompensated easements under FAA part 150 regulations, to aid and abet in the FHA Equity Skimming Scheme (12 U.S.C. § 1715z-19) using FAA controlled PFC's (49 U.S.C. § 40117) as "hard dollars" derived from uncompensated private residential subdivision Avigation Easements (49 U.S.C. § 40103(a)).
- B. EQUITY SKIMMING, 12 U.S.C. § 1715z-19; 18 U.S.C. §§ 157, 371

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> (See: Case 1:12-cv-00361, Doc. 1, Filed 03/12/12, at Page 23-28 of 99, at P.52-67,)

- 1. Plaintiff Yvonne Lewis petition is filed on behalf of the HUD/FHA homeowner program<sup>9</sup> [42 U.S.C. §§ 1441, 3535, 4621(c)(4), 2000d], against Debtors and Defendants under the DOJ/State AG Settlement and GMAC's Amended Servicing Agreements dated August 21, 2001 and amended as of July 1, 2004, April 1, 2005, and September 1, 2007 (See: Doc 793-1, at pg.84) in delaying the FHA Loan Modification and Loss Mitigation Processes as collection efforts for September 2, 1992 PFC's (See: 57 FR 40242) from uncompensated September 25, 1987 FAA/easements while the skim occurs subject to FAA land use controls under FAR part 150 regulations (See: 53 FR 2800). Lewis' Skimming petition is filed as the alleged 2001 GMAC loan originator (HNB) used an unlawful 2001 "Living Trust Mill and Equity Index Annuity scheme<sup>10</sup>", to procure fraudulent 2005 Judgment Liens (see: 05-JG-6455) to encumber a 1963 and 1975 HUD/ FHA Mortgage Deed approved by HUD as a Federal agency for Lots 11 and 17 of the Argyle Park Subdivision which was purportedly saving the impacted HUD-insured single family residential mortgages under the State unfair or deceptive practices law.
- 2. From on or about September 2, 1992 (See: 57 FR 40242) to on or about April 13, 2013 (See: 69 FR 20957), in the Southern District of Ohio, GMAC as Debtor and Debtor in Possession of Lots 11 and 17 of the Argyle Park Subdivision and Defendants under the DOJ/State AG Settlement, along with defendants herein, devised a scheme and artifice to defraud the United States of America, i.e. GMAC Bank (See: Doc 793-1, at pg.84) and Yvonne Moore a/k/a/ Yvonne D. Lewis of "Equity" money from PFC's.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> "In United States v. Madeoy, 912 F.2d 1486, pp.1492 (D.C. Cir. 1990), cert. denied, 498 U.S. 1105 (1991), the defendants were convicted of conspiracy to defraud the government and other offenses in connection with a scheme to fraudulently obtain loan commitments from the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) or Veterans Administration (VA).

- 3. It was part of the prohibited scheme, 12 U.S.C. § 1715z-19; 18 U.S.C. §§ 2, 371, 1505, that on April 22, 2005 defendant GMAC (servicer) and Huntington National Bank (originator) (See: Doc 13-1, at pg.2 of 3), was in the process of foreclosing on the home of Yvonne D. Lewis, contacted Yvonne Lewis and falsely claimed that due to a June 20, 2005 foreclosure Decree the FHA could not refinance the mortgage with GMAC Bank through FHA regulations and guidance and HAMP and other MHA servicer participation agreements under the "national housing program" as established requirements that were available due to the 1987 FAA, FAR part 150 program's land use controls for the 1992 permanent Aviation Easement to mitigate aircraft noise and aircraft emissions from aircraft engines encumbering the 1975 FHA Mortgage Deed<sup>11</sup> with Federal preemptions<sup>12</sup> under 49 U.S.C. § 40103(a); 42 U.S.C. § 7573.
  - (See: Online Docket at 6-20-2005, at fiche no. B2719 at frame no. F14, in CPC case no. 05-cv-4555, Fr. Cnty., Ohio, foreclosure Decree)
- 4. It was further part of the prohibited skimming scheme under Id.371<sup>13</sup> and the "national housing program" that defendants GMAC Bank, AFI, HNB, FNMA, GNMA (See: Doc 13-3, at pg.2 of 2), and others, convinced Yvonne Lewis to partially deed her property at 1875 Alvason Avenue to the City of Columbus (grantee), as alleged 1992 sponsor of the Columbus Metropolitan Airport Authority (CMAA) under a permanent 1992 aviation easement while doing business as a participant in the FAA, "FAR part 150 noise mitigation program" (See:

<sup>&</sup>quot;In short, "the respective rights and obligations of a federal mortgagee and a defaulting mortgagor under a national housing program . . . are governed by federal law which preempts state laws in the field, such as Act 6." Stohr, 1993 U.S. Dist. Lexis 2228, 4-5. Accordingly, Jones-Williams motion to dismiss will be denied." (See: United States ex rel. United States Dep't of Hous. & Urban Dev. v. Jones-Williams, 870 F. Supp. 90, 95 (M.D. Pa. 1994));

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> (See: Burbank v. Lockheed Air Terminal, Inc., 411 U.S. 624, pp.633-634, citing *Northwest Airlines, Inc.* v. *Minnesota*, 322 U.S. 292, 303, "It is the pervasive nature of the scheme of federal regulation of aircraft noise that leads us to conclude that there is pre-emption.");

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See: United States v. Jackson, 850 F. Supp. 1481, 1497 (D. Kan. 1994) United States v. Minarik, 875 F.2d 1186 (6th Cir. 1989),

- case no. 12-01731, at Doc 13-4) and to continue making her complete mortgage payments to said GMAC Mortgage LLC (Servicer) absent a Partial Mortgage Release under FAR part 150, instead of partial mortgage payment subsidized from PFC revenues.
- 5. It was further part of the scheme that following the execution of the FAA 1992 quit claim aviation easement/deed (See: 63 FR 16413 at Disclosure Requirements, FAA Response) by Yvonne Lewis, defendant as Debtor in Possession, GMAC Mortgage LLC, filed bankruptcy on behalf of Yvonne Lewis as a Bankruptcy Creditor of GMAC and did not disclose the transfer by Aviation Easement/deed, thus stopping and delaying Loss mitigation collection and loan modification to prevent foreclosure efforts by GMAC Bank (Servicer).
- 6. It was further part of the scheme that from 2001 defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC continued to collect full mortgage payment/money from Yvonne Lewis which would not be applied to the mortgage escrow account, but would be converted to the personal use of others.
- 7. On or about May 14, 2012, Defendant GMAC Mortgage LLC (filing debtor) for the purpose of executing the scheme and artifice to defraud [id 371], caused a petition under title 11 to be filed on behalf of Yvonne Lewis (creditor) listing as Debtor in Possession, GMAC/Ally Bank (sub-servicer) and as an asset the residence at 1875 Alvason Avenue.
- 8. On or about Aug. 24, 2012, Defendant GMAC (filing debtor) for the purpose of violating the April 4, 2012 consent judgment's "Hardship Affidavit" and obtaining unlawful possession of the subject FHA/FAA controlled property (49 U.S.C. § 106(f)&(g)) under the skimming scheme [id 1715z-19], and artifice to defraud [id 371], caused a false writ of possession to be executed as issued on June 4, 2012 (See: Doc 11-7, at pg. 3 of 7, P.7, "writ of possession"), whereby the Franklin County Sheriff forcefully terminated the plaintiff's rights under the 1975 FHA Mortgage (See: EXHIBIT A) in violation of the "national housing program"

guidelines and Debtors obligations to mitigate under the DOJ/State AG Settlement and FRB Order as incorporated in the April 4, 2012 "Consent Judgment" and in violation of this courts interim orders Doc. 47 & 90 [see: Docs. 47 & 90],

All in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 2, 371, 1001, 1505, 1962; 12 U.S.C. §§ 1709, 1715z-19.

#### CONCLUSION

Movants respectfully requests that an order be issued requiring defendants as Debtors and Debtors in Possession, GMAC/AFI (sub-servicer) and ENGELHARDT and MARTIN, Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors in Possession, to appear before this court and show cause why defendants should not be adjudged in contempt of this honorable court for aiding and abetting a false "self declaration" under "state common law" by Affidavit of James Whitlinger (Doc 6) and "Equity Skimming" and "RICO" by concealment of state law Judgment lien in case 05-jg-6455 and federal FAA, FAR part 150, preemptions 42 USC §§ 7573, 4621(c)(4) (See: Doc 13-4, at pg. 5, lines 1-8); And failure to mitigate loss nor modify the 1975 FHA mortgage loan (See: EXHIBIT A) of plaintiff Yvonne D. Moore a/k/a Yvonne Lewis as allegedly foreclosed upon on Sept. 12, 2011 (See: Doc 11-7, at pg. 3 of 7, P.7) as within the class period beginning on January 1, 2008 and ending on December 31, 2011 for this courts interim orders, Doc. 47 & 90 [see: Docs. 47 & 90], as prematurely rejected by the state of Ohio notwithstanding the RICO enterprise in Ohio Related Bankr Case Nos. 2:05-bk-75111, and 2:07-bk-57237, USBRC, S.D., OH.; and 2:96-cv-494, and 2:08-cv-75, at Doc. No. 65 ("Dismissal Order"), USDC, S.D., OH..

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: Sept. 19, 2012 June Dated: Sept. 19, 2012 Yvonne D. Lewis, pro se

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A copy of the foregoing: I. Objection/Notice; and, II. Motion for Show Cause/Notice, were served on Debtors counsels of record, and other parties to the instant action, by hand delivery, electronic mail service, or by certified U.S. Mail Service, Postage Prepaid on Sept. 19, 2012.

Dated: Sept. 19, 2012 Juney Lewis Dated: Sept. 19, 2012 Yvonne D. Lewis, pro se



STATE OF CHIC

P-279B7

#### MORTGAGE DEED WITH DOWER

19296

KNOWALLMEN BY THESE PRESENTS, THAT Ronald L. Moore, by Tvonne D. Moore, his Attorney-in-Fact, and Yvonne D. Moore, husband and wife, both being over 19 years of age,

of The City of Columbus

County of Franklin

100 and State of Ohlo, the Granker, for and in consideration of the sum of Twenty-four Thousand Bight Hundred and no.

Dollats (\$4,800.00), to him paid by CENTRAL SAVINGS AND LOAN COMPANY

, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of , and having the principal place of business at 46 B. Gay Street, , Orates, the secint of which is bordy schnowledged, does give, grant, and promises, shunded in the City The United States of America Columbus, Ohio 43215 burnin, Ell, and convey unto the Conntec the followin of Columbus od gremise, shuted in the County of Franklin of Ohlo, and bounded and described us follows, to wit:

> Being Lot Number Seventeen (17) of ARGYLE PARK SUDDIVISION, as the same is numbered and delineated upon the recorded plat thereof, of record in Plat Book 36, page 6, Recorder's Office, Franklin County, Ohio.

Received QCI 

Retordet's Fee 5. 4/.00

September 30, 1975

Columbus, Ohio For value received, the undersigned hereby sells, assigns and sets over unto: CITIZENS MORTGAGE CORPORATION, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, whose address is, 24700 Northwestern Highway, Southfield, Michigan 48075, all its right, title and interest in and to the within Mortgage, without recourse.

Plante LED

JANE G. CLARKSON

ASST, SECY, profits which may ethic or be had therefrom; and all profits which may ethic or be had therefrom; and all

And, for a valuable consideration, the pid Grantore effects and forever quickling, rate the Chantes his right and thic arifmany bothest-evods and or mwob los

wr3923 👫 🖂

EXHIBIT

### EVA 3553 PAGE 22

#### AND WHEREAS the Greater further covernate and agrees that;

- It will promptly pay the principal of and interest on the indebtedness evidenced by the sald note, at the times and in the smanter therein
  provided, Frieldage is removed to pay the debt in whole at in an amount equal to one or more monthly payment on the principal that are man dow on
  the note, on the first day of any month prior to statustly; provided, however, that well too notes of an intention to a version such privilege is given at
  least thirty (30) days prior to pripayment.
- 2. In order more fully to product the security of this deed, he will pay to the Cantes, together with, and in addition to, each payments of principal and interest, the following more:
  - per any meses, and underwring seems;

    (4) An ambiguit sufficient by payeded the holder bessel with funds to pay the sent recetange insurance premium if this instrument and the noisy seculed hareby are instruct, or monthly charge (in lieu of a monthly charge cand think the perspectation of the payer and think the perspectation of the perspectat
    - (1) If and so long at sold outs of even date and this lettriment are incurred or are reinsured under the provisions of the Marisana Housing.

      Act, an amount sufficient to accumulate in the hands of the halder one (2) means prior to his due test the annual mortgage insurance prendum, he noder to provide such halder with loads to apy such permitters to the Secretary of Housing and Urbed.

      Development persuant to the National Housing Act, as amended, and applicable Resolutions that sendence of (1)) If and no long as said note of even date and indicates many as held by the Secretary of Housing Act (1) the previous of the secretary of Housing and Urben Development, a greatly charge (in let of a mortgage insurance permitting which shall be in an amount equal to one-levelf (2)) of one-leaf (4)) per canamy of the average noticenthing balance due on the note computed without taking laise account delinquencies or prepayments.
  - (b) A sum equal to the ground cours, if any, cost doe, plus the premiums that will mext become due and payable on policies of five and other hazard insurance pertueding the premium covered by the deed (all as estimated by the Crestica) tree all rece already paid therefor divided by the number of months joint purple for one most prior in the date when such ground raths, furniture, taxes and successful will be considered by the control of the premium of the properties of the ground raths, premium; taxes and successful pay said ground raths, premiums, taxes and special accessorant before the same become delinquest; and
  - (cf All pryments mantitioned in the two preceding subsections of this paragraph and all payments to be made under the note accured heavily that the actied logariter, and the aggregate amount thereof shall be paid by the Granton each month in sangle payment to be applied by the Granton each month in sangle payment to be applied by the Granton each month in the sangle payment to be applied by the Granton each month in the sangle payment to be applied by the Granton each month in the sangle payment to be applied by the Granton each month in the sangle payment to be applied by the Granton each month in the sangle payment to be applied by the Granton each month in the sangle payment to be sangle payment to be sangle payment.
    - (3) pyrinium charges under the contract of insurance with Secretary of Housing and Urban Davelopment, or monthly charge (in lies of mortigues insurance premium), as the case may be:
    - (II) ground range, taxes, special possessments, fire and other beard insurance prevolution
    - (III) interest on the note senered hereby; and

Any deficiency in the amount of such aggregate monthly payments shall, unless made good by the Grantes prior to the due date of the most such payments, constitute an event of defaut under this deed. The Grantes may collect a "isse charge" not to stored two units (26) for each deline (31) of each payment more than fifteen (15) days in arrears to cover the extra expense byoless in handling delinquest

- 3. If the total of the payments made by the Greater for ground tends, rices, or assessment of interesting full payments, and the case may be, sind exerts, at the Greater dependent payments to be made by the Greater dependent of the payment of the Greater than the same by the Greater under their subsection shall not be explicitled to pay ground turns, ten assessment of intaken permittent, when the same that becomes the early subsection shall not be explicitled to pay ground turns, ten, as assessment of intaken permittent, when the same that becomes the early ground turns, tends as the Greater when the same that becomes the greater than the ground rouns, tents, sates extracted to intaken permittent of the Greater and the Greater, in a secondario with the Provisions of and such, (ad payment of the waster hydrobordent expressment of the Greater and the amount of such indebtondents, credit to the account of the Greater is not expressment the scheme that it is a subsection of the same than the provisions of subsection of a pay for the greater of Heading and Urban Development, and any hair and the companion of the Greater as a public sale of the precision of subsection of subsection of the property of the same subsection of the provision of the dear and the subsection of the greater and the scheme concentration of the provision of the provision of the dear and the scheme acquires the property of subsection and the property of the same of the provision of the dear and the scheme acquires the provision of the provision of the provision of the same and the property of the same of the provision of the same of the provision of the provision of the same of the s
- 4. He will pay all ground routs, taxes, assessments, water rates, and other governments or municipal charges, flors, or impositions, levied promises, or upon the laterest of the Grantes in and to said premises, for which prevision has not been made heresofore, and in default the Grantes may gay the same; and he will promptly deliver the official receipts therefore to the Grantes.
- 5. The Grantee, its successors or eading shall have the right to pay any ground reats, laces, ancounting, water sent, and other gover to undertail charges, these or impositions, which the Crantor has agreed to pay under paragraph 4, howeve, and to make any payments her provided to be made by the Granter in subnections (of and (o) of paragraph 2 hereof, and any amount so post by the Granter shall then be the principal debt named herein and bear interest or the rate and forth in the note secured hereby, payable monthly, from the date of such and be accused by the dead.
- 6. He will keep the improvements now existing of hereafter steeted on the generical covered by this dead, insured as may be required from time to time by the Granbee against lets by the season of the following time to time by the Granbee against lets by the Grantee and will per promptly, when due, any promisers on such insurance provisions for progreed of which his such these made is AB fernitance that by the Grantee and the policies and represents the most had been read as a fernitable of the fernitable of
- He will keep the mortgaged premises he as good order and condition as they are now, and will not commit or premit warm, reasonable were and lear excepted.
- 8. That if the perelects, or say part thereof, be condemned under any power of enthront demails, or acquired for a public can, the demagnet, proceeds, and the consideration for such acquisition, to the extent of the followed process, and the followed process, and the followed process are considered by the Grantes to be applied by it on account of the Indebtedment secured thereby, whether due or not.
- 9. The Granter further agrees that should this deed and the note secured hereby not be aligible for insurance under the National Housing Act within 50 days from date hereof (written statement of any officer of the Department of Housing and Urban Development of sub-prize state of the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development dated subscipent to the \$forestad, d time from the date of this deed, deciding to innur said note and this deed, being deemed oneduring proof of such insighbility) the Grance or the helder of the note man, at its option, declars all sums accurat hereby immediately due and psyable,



9	GENERAL WARRANTY DEED	H.M	ngloods -	Nullousi Graphics Corp., Cale., D. Youn Me. Lib.)
Ĭ	Know all Men by These	Presents; That' W	Illie Joe Cook and E	rances G. Cock
Ì	nosaana ana wii	Franklin	County,	Ohlo for
<b>—</b> 1	One Dollar (\$1.00) and att valuable consideration paid, grant	, with general war		Ronald L. Moore and
ì	Yvonne D. Moore , hu 1875 Alvoson	Avenue, Columbus, O	phose tax mailing addr	das is
		, the fol	lowing real property:	Situated in the County of
*/- M1	Franklin in the State of	01,10	and (n	the City
41	of Columbus a	nd bounded and describ	ed as follows: *	<b>225</b> 08
	ul the same is nu	or Seventeen (17) of ARI mbared and delineated d in Plat Book 36, page Ohio,	woon the recorded ala	
	not now due and	Is subject to the lien of payable; zoning ordina strictions, conditions re	nces and regulations;	legal \$
	Prior Instrument of Reference.	•	Page 475 ,	
		*(	oifs-husband of the gra	ntor, releases all rights of
	dower therein.  Witness their hands the	2016 1	e	İ
	Witness their hands the Signed and acknowledged in t		September	, 19 75 .
	CL-122		Willie Got C	och
		XV	Ville Joe Chok	/
	Kathapu a.S	Cool C	Trexito, Y.C.	in the
	Be It Remembered. That on the	s 30th da stary Public d Frances G. Cook, hu	thand and wife,	, 1975 ity, personally came the
	, la Teilimon's Whereof, I have and year last ajorevaid.	hereunto subscribed my	name and affixed my	official real, on the day
	The lastroment was prepared by	2	Sallryx	a Kool
R	S. Cizidolia, V. Attorney at La	Öhia 43215	MY COMMISSION IXPIX	LIN COUNTY, OHIO
	Description of land or interest therein and em Lielus whichever is not empirable.	cumbrances, reservations, sail e	cceptions, if any,	
	RANSFERGED POCT 6 1975	AID Receive	OCT 6 1975 10 OCT 8 1975 14 OCT 8 1975	4:00 N
ARC	H J. WARREN ARCI	J. WARREN GOUNTY, AUDITOR Record	JAMES A. SC	HAEFER Recorder
a not be called a carrier and contains	- DHO			1
a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	n solume The	(,016, F	¥(	
in the state office in the fire	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	radifyl Will weap remine		OCCUPATION OF THE POST
the second of the second	n in 1922, in with the oil	n) ;: (1		

THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF T

12-12020-mg Doc 1944 Filed 10/23/12 Entered 10/25/12 12:15:07 Main Document Pa 19 of 22 Filed 08/27/12 Entered 08/29/12 18:19:17 Doc 13-3 12-01731-mg Assignment of Real Estate Mortgage Pg 2 of 2 OHIO COUNTY OF FRANKLIN LOAN NO 1: 4002455385 002455384 LOAN NO 2: 01637 INVESTOR: X00008225 POOL NO: INVESTOR TYPE: GNMA WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO: BayView Portfolio Services, Inc. 3631 S. Harbor Blvd., Suite 200 PO BOX 25079 Santa Ano, CA 92704-6951 Prepared By Production Comp Assignment of Real Estate Mortgage FOR VALUE RECEIVED, the undersigned as Mortgagee ("ASSIGNOR"), hereby grants, conveys, assigns and transfers to CITICORP MORTGAGE, INC., A DELAWARE CORPORATION 27666 FARMINGTON ROAD, FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334-3357 9/30/75 executed by ("Assignee") all beneficial interest under that certain mortgage dated RONALD L. MOORE, BY YVONNEID: MOORE, HIS ATTORNEY IN FACT, AND YVONNE D. MOORE, HUSBAND AND WIFE, BOTH BEING OVER 18 YEARS OF AGE. Mortgugor, to CENTRAL SAVINGS AND LOAN COMPANY Morigagee, and 3353 10/8/75 in Book InStrument No. recorded as , of Official Records in the office of the County Recorder, of Micro Pilm Page County, Ohio as described in said mortgage, FRANKLIN PIN: 10-136633 Together with the note or notes therein described or referred to, the money due and to become due thereon with interest, and all rights accrued or to accrue under said Mortgage. CHASE MORTGAGE COMPANY, AN OHIO CORPORATION, F/K/A 11/1/00 CHEMICAL NORTGAGE COMPANY 3415 VISION DRIVE, COLLIMBUS, OH 43219 ROBERT ZIERTEN VICE PRESIDENT CALIFORNIA STATE OF COUNTY OF ORANGE personally appeared MONICA LADZINSKI 11/1/00 before me. ROBERT ZIERTEN, VICE PRESIDENT, personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their algorithmete(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon belight of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument. WITNESS my hand and official scal

363 U.S. Harbor Blvd., Suite 200, Sunto Ana, CA 92704

This instrument year prepared by: Production Group, BayView PS

MONICA LADZINSKI

them not describ and gold

NOTARY PUBLIC

My commission expires #15/92



Consumer Protection
Office (614) 466-8831
Fax (614) 466-8898

30 East Broad Street, 14th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 www.ohioattomeygeneral.gov

January 13, 2011

Sidney T. Lewis Yvonne D. Webb-Lewis 1875 Alvason Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43219

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Lewis:

I am in receipt of your constituent inquiry received by our office on December 9, 2010. Your request was forwarded to the Consumer Protection Section for review. I am writing to follow up on your request for our office to review the documents that you submitted with respect to your difficulties with American Investment Life Insurance Company (AILIC), regarding equity indexed annuities. I am sorry to know of the difficulties you are facing.

First, you asked us to confirm whether the notice of defective living trust was given to the State of Ohio. In reviewing your documents, I am assuming you are asking whether or not we received noticed of the class actions that are referenced in the documents. Unfortunately, I cannot answer this question for you as the Consumer Protection Section does not generally receive notices related to insurance and annuities class actions.

Second, in reviewing the documents attached, it appears that you wanted us to review and take action with respect to the court's decision on AILIC's class action settlement related to annuities and living trusts that were sold, apparently, between 1997 and 2007. First, the matter relates to a court decision and we do not have the authority to overturn the court's decision. Second, this also appears to be a matter concerning a transaction that is at least three years old and the statute that we enforce, the Ohio Consumer Sales Practices Act has a time limitation of two years.

I did confer with Greg Mobley with the Ohio Department of Insurance concerning your inquiry with us, since matters related to insurance and annuities are usually referred to them as we do not have jurisdiction over these matters. Mr. Mobley has informed me that you have filed a separate complaint with them and that they will be providing a response soon.

EXHIBIT B

Ohio Attorney General Mike DeWine January 13, 2011 Page 2

I apologize that I cannot be of further assistance to you at this time. You may want to speak with your attorney, concerning what other options may be available to you. If you are not currently represented by an attorney, you may want to call the Columbus Bar Association Lawyer Referral Service at 614/221.0754 or toll-free at 877/560.1014.

Very truly yours,

MIKE DEWINE

Ohio Attorney General

Susan Choe

Section Chief

Consumer Protection

(614) 466-1306

FAX (614) 466-8898

Susan.choe@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

EX. B

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT, SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK;

In Re: Residential Capital, LLC., et al., And,	) Case No. <u>12-bk-12020 (MG)</u>					
In Re: GMAC, Mortgage Co., et al,	Chapter (Ch.11, Joint Admin.)					
Debtors	) (Related BR Case No.07-bk-57237, S.D., OHIO)					
Decicio	) (Related BR Case No. 12-bk-12032, S.D., N.Y.)					
	) JUDGE: GLENN, MARTIN					
UNITED STATES of America, Ex Rel.,	)					
Yvonne D. Lewis, et al.,	Adversary Case No.: 1: <u>12-av-1731</u>					
Plaintiffs/ Surplus Creditors	) (Related Case Nos. 1:12-bk-12020, 1:12-bk-12032;					
Vs.	) 05-CV-7346 (03-CV-7478); 03-CV-10836;					
	) 05-CV-4555; 03-CV-6954);(11-AP-875,					
GMAC, Mortgage Co., et al,	) COA10th Dist., OHIO), (10-AP-110, COA10th					
Defendants/ Bankrupt Debtors,	) Dist., OHIO)					
•	)					
	TES DISTRICT COURT, S. D. OF OHIO					
EASTER	N DIVISION (at Columbus)					
UNITED STATES of America, Ex Rel.,						
Sidney T. Lewis, et al.,	) Action No. <u>2:08-cv-1042</u>					
Plaintiffs,	(Related Dist. Ct. Cases <u>2</u> :08-cv-16; 2:96-cv-494;					
Vs.	) 2:08-cv-75 at Doc. 65 "Dismissal", 2:09-cv-179);					
	JUDGE: HOLSCHUH					
Larry McClatchey, et al.,	) Magistrate Judge: <u>KING</u>					
Emens, Hurd, Kegler & Ritter nka						
Emens, Kegler, Brown, Hill & Ritter, LP	PC. )					
Defendants.						
	PRAECIPE					
I RAECH E						

To the Clerks of the Bankruptcy Court:

- 1. Please find enclose one (1) copy of the following Motion/Notice for Leave to File First Amended Complaint to be filed in case no. 1:12-adv-1731 with your court instanter.
- 2. Please find enclose one (1) copy of the following Objection/Notice (doc.14) and Motion/Notice for Show Cause (doc. 6) to be filed in case no. 1:12-adv-1731 with your court instanter.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: Sept. 19, 2012 June Dated: Sept. 19, 2012 June D. Lewis, pro se